EXHIBIT 12

```
1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
      ----X
5
     TVIIM, LLC,
           Plaintiff, : Case No.:
6
                       : 3:13-cv-04545-VC
7
       V.
     McAFEE, INC.,
8
          Defendant. :
9
10
     -----X
11
            CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
12
13
           Videotaped Deposition of FRANK HAMMOND
14
15
                      Denver, Colorado
16
                  Thursday, December 11, 2014
17
                         12:34 p.m.
18
19
20
21
22
     Job No.: 70758
23
     Pages: 1 - 133
24
25
     Reported By: Melanie L. Giamarco
```

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page3 of 16

	85	
1	Q. Did you help direct the attorneys in any	02:46:04
2	way?	02:46:06
3	A. No.	02:46:06
4	Q. Did you ever have conversations with the	02:46:07
5	attorneys about the patent application?	02:46:09
6	A. No.	02:46:11
7	Q. Did you ever look at the patent application	02:46:11
8	after that initial review when you were considering	02:46:14
9	the HOSTCheck acquisition?	02:46:19
10	A. I'd say yes, occasionally, reference model	02:46:20
11	to the product, so	02:46:28
12	Q. To the HOSTCheck product?	02:46:30
13	A. Reference model of HOSTCheck to as it	02:46:31
14	applies to the Secure Enclave product.	02:46:36
15	Q. You'd look at the patent application I'm	02:46:39
16	not sure actually what you mean by "reference model."	02:46:42
17	A. You know, are we doing this right? So here	02:46:45
18	we have a model in the patent, then we had the source	02:46:47
19	code, and so we improved on that at that was the	02:46:52
20	reference model, and we improved on it.	02:46:56
21	Q. Understood.	02:46:58
22	So is it your understanding that HOSTCheck	02:46:59
23	was using the ideas that were claimed in the patent	02:47:01
24	application?	02:47:06
25	A. Correct.	02:47:07

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page4 of 16

	86	
1	Q. And that Secure Enclave was as well?	02:47:08
2	A. Yes.	02:47:10
3	Q. And that HostGuard had been before	02:47:11
4	HOSTCheck.	02:47:13
5	A. I don't know about HostGuard.	02:47:14
6	Q. Fair enough. But you knew HOSTCheck came	02:47:15
7	from HostGuard?	02:47:18
8	A. Correct.	02:47:19
9	Q. Aside from using the patent application in	02:47:20
10	that fashion, did you ever refer to it otherwise over	02:47:24
11	the course of the years since first looking at it?	02:47:27
12	A. Refer to it in what manner?	02:47:30
13	Q. Did you ever look at it?	02:47:33
14	A. I mean, I yes, I used it when I was at	02:47:35
15	Innerwall and really didn't deal with it much after	02:47:40
16	that, so	02:47:43
17	Q. But you never dealt with the folks who were	02:47:44
18	dealing with the Patent Office?	02:47:47
19	MR. GRANT: Asked and answered.	02:47:49
20	A. Correct. I'm not listed on the patent, so	02:47:50
21	not my not my issue.	02:47:53
22	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Do you know who was	02:47:54
23	involved in the back-and-forth with the Patent Office?	02:47:56
24	A. I don't know exactly.	02:47:58
25	Q. Do you know if Mr. Ricotta was?	02:47:59

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page5 of 16

	87	
1	A. I don't know. I don't know.	02:48:01
2	Q. Were you involved in any efforts to license	02:48:05
3	the technology to other companies?	02:48:09
4	A. This technology or the	02:48:11
5	Q. Yes.	02:48:11
6	A Secure Enclave?	02:48:14
7	Q. Well, I mean, do you view there being a	02:48:16
8	difference between the two?	02:48:19
9	A. Yeah, there is a difference between the	02:48:19
10	two, which is the communication, you know, the	02:48:26
11	extension to the Secure Enclave, so I was involved in	02:48:27
12	determining the licensing of Secure Enclave with other	02:48:33
13	people, with Ricotta and with the marketing people at	02:48:39
14	the time, which, honestly, I don't remember their	02:48:46
15	names.	02:48:47
16	Q. So I have this straight, your understanding	02:48:50
17	was HOSTCheck used the ideas in the patent	02:48:54
18	application?	02:48:58
19	A. Correct.	02:48:59
20	Q. Secure Enclave took those ideas and added	02:48:59
21	additional ideas?	02:49:02
22	A. Correct.	02:49:03
23	Q. Including the two-way communication?	02:49:03
24	A. Correct.	02:49:05
25	Q. And the encrypted communication potential?	02:49:06

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page6 of 16

	88	
1	A. Yes.	02:49:08
2	Q. And the third being the	02:49:09
3	A. The active remediation.	02:49:12
4	Q active remediation?	02:49:13
5	A. Correct.	02:49:15
6	Q. Which you defined "active remediation" as?	02:49:15
7	A. As someone taking action immediately, or	02:49:19
8	automated, completely automated.	02:49:24
9	Q. The automated remediation?	02:49:25
10	A. Automated remediation, active remediation,	02:49:28
11	so it was defined as not passive.	02:49:30
12	Q. And that was one of the concepts that you	02:49:33
13	added to what was in the patent application and	02:49:35
14	HOSTCheck?	02:49:42
15	MR. GRANT: Objection; misstates testimony.	02:49:43
16	A. The patent application for Secure Enclave.	02:49:44
17	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Let's just back up here.	02:49:48
18	In the patent application that led to the	02:49:50
19	'168 patent, do you have that in mind?	02:49:53
20	A. Yes. Yes.	02:49:54
21	Q. And we had the original HOSTCheck.	02:49:55
22	A. Correct.	02:49:56
23	Q. And then we had Secure Enclave which took	02:49:56
24	HOSTCheck	02:49:59
25	A. Took the ideas.	02:50:00

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page7 of 16

	89	
1	Q and added features, right?	02:50:01
2	A. Correct.	02:50:03
3	Q. Some of which are not in the patent	02:50:03
4	application?	02:50:04
5	A. Correct.	02:50:05
6	Q. And the ones that are not in the patent	02:50:05
7	application, as I understand you, were the two-way	02:50:07
8	communication, the encrypted communication and what	02:50:11
9	you describe as active remediation; is that fair?	02:50:16
10	MR. GRANT: Misstates testimony.	02:50:18
11	A. Yes.	02:50:19
12	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Yes, that is fair?	02:50:20
13	A. That's fair.	02:50:22
14	Q. And you were licensing all of the ideas in	02:50:26
15	Secure Enclave, not just the ones that were in the	02:50:29
16	application?	02:50:31
17	A. Correct.	02:50:32
18	Q. And you were involved in that effort?	02:50:35
19	A. As I was involved as far the	02:50:36
20	involvement I had was taking the license and how do we	02:50:40
21	put that license into action in the software realm,	02:50:44
22	so, like, Apple software agreements.	02:50:52
23	Q. Did anyone license the Secure Enclave	02:50:54
24	technology from Innerwall?	02:50:58
25	A. Yes.	02:51:01

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page8 of 16

	90	
1	Q. And who, in particular?	02:51:01
2	MR. GRANT: Asked and answered.	02:51:03
3	A. I don't remember the only one I remember	02:51:06
4	was Boeing, the Boeing company as far as names.	02:51:08
5	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) When a company purchased	02:51:11
6	the Secure Enclave product, were they purchasing a	02:51:13
7	license to it?	02:51:15
8	A. Yes.	02:51:16
9	Q. Innerwall was not selling the software	02:51:18
10	outright, it was selling it on a license model?	02:51:22
11	A. It was sold as there was a box, a server	02:51:25
12	that was delivered, and then a number of licenses in	02:51:32
13	that box, and that's how it was sold. But they	02:51:35
14	they ended up owning the box.	02:51:38
15	Q. And the number of licenses were defined	02:51:40
16	the authorization to make copies of what was in the	02:51:45
17	box; is that fair?	02:51:47
18	A. It was the license, the way it was	02:51:48
19	implemented was a number of licenses, and if it was	02:51:55
20	ten, then you'd install it wherever you wanted to, but	02:51:57
21	only ten would be active at a time.	02:52:01
22	Q. And was there some shutdown mechanism that	02:52:02
23	prevented it from being used if you tried to install	02:52:05
24	it on an 11th computer?	02:52:08
25	A. Not install it, but if the 11th computer	02:52:10

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page9 of 16

	91	
1	tried to talk to the system and it wasn't licensed, it	02:52:12
2	wouldn't talk to it.	02:52:16
3	Q. The software had a limit built within it?	02:52:17
4	A. Had a limiter in it.	02:52:19
5	Q. And the number of users was defined by the	02:52:22
6	scope of a given license?	02:52:24
7	A. Yeah, just a number.	02:52:27
8	Q. And then you would tailor the software	02:52:30
9	accordingly to limit it to whatever the license number	02:52:32
10	was?	02:52:35
11	A. It was a parameter. We just put in a	02:52:36
12	parameter and	02:52:39
13	Q. And I think you testified earlier, you	02:52:44
14	don't remember the pricing?	02:52:47
15	A. I don't remember what the prices were.	02:52:48
16	Q. Did you review particular licenses before	02:52:49
17	they were executed, or during the process of	02:52:52
18	negotiating them?	02:52:54
19	A. No.	02:52:55
20	Q. Who would have referred those reviewed	02:52:56
21	those?	02:52:59
22	A. That would have been I was more a sales	02:52:59
23	engineer, and that would have been one of the	02:53:05
24	salespeople in sales and probably that's	02:53:07
25	speculation. Sales.	02:53:13

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page10 of 16

	92	
1	Q. So now I want to distinguish on the one	02:53:14
2	hand between licenses to the software provided to a	02:53:19
3	software customer on the one hand	02:53:24
4	A. Okay.	02:53:26
5	Q versus giving a company a license to the	02:53:27
6	intellectual property, only with no accompanying	02:53:29
7	software	02:53:32
8	A. Right.	02:53:33
9	Q on the other. Do you have that	02:53:33
10	distinction in mind?	02:53:35
11	A. I do.	02:53:35
12	Q. Did you ever make any efforts in the second	02:53:36
13	category of trying to license the intellectual	02:53:38
14	property	02:53:39
15	A. No.	02:53:42
16	Q without software?	02:53:42
17	A. No.	02:53:43
18	Q. It was always just part of a software	02:53:43
19	transaction?	02:53:46
20	A. Correct.	02:53:47
21	Q. When you first looked at the application	02:53:53
22	that led to the '168 patent, did you or anyone else at	02:53:55
23	Innerwall investigate the chain of ownership for that	02:54:00
24	application?	02:54:04
25	A. For this application?	02:54:05

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page11 of 16

	118	
1	A. I have not.	03:57:04
2	Q. And you don't recall any formal written	03:57:07
3	policy at Innerwall regarding marking?	03:57:09
4	A. No.	03:57:12
5	Q. And you don't recall any specific efforts	03:57:13
6	to mark Secure Enclave or any of the Enclave products	03:57:14
7	with patent application numbers or patent numbers?	03:57:19
8	A. No, I don't remember if that was on the	03:57:23
9	documentation or not.	03:57:25
10	(Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)	03:57:44
11	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Exhibit 17 is titled	03:57:45
12	"Enclave: Beyond Traditional Perimeters. " Have you	03:57:51
13	seen this before?	03:57:58
14	A. (Reviewing document.) Yes, I've seen this	03:58:11
15	before. It's very old.	03:58:17
16	Q. What is it?	03:58:17
17	A. It's one of it's one of the initial	03:58:21
18	documents that was more as a road map or a map,	03:58:23
19	product road map and then, you know, the description	03:58:28
20	of the technology and what it does. Yeah, this is one	03:58:34
21	of the very first older ones.	03:58:41
22	Q. And this was intended to show where the	03:58:43
23	product was going as opposed to where it was?	03:58:46
24	A. Yes. Yeah, because they yeah. 2005,	03:58:48
25	that would have been just after the after the money	03:59:09

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page12 of 16

	119	
1	came in, Series A, a lot of marketing changes and	03:59:12
2	mark	03:59:21
3	Q. And do you recall how that Series A	03:59:22
4	investments related to the product releases	03:59:26
5	chronologically, you know, which came first as among	03:59:31
6	the first release, second release, third release, and	03:59:37
7	the Series A financing?	03:59:40
8	A. Well, the Series A financing came in early	03:59:41
9	2005, so the first releases were 2003, and then second	03:59:43
10	and third release were after the first ones, so	03:59:49
11	I I don't remember what was going on after the	03:59:59
12	as far as release dates and versions after the money	04:00:02
13	came in.	04:00:05
14	(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)	04:00:12
15	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Exhibit 18 is titled	04:00:15
16	"Secure Enclave Overview." Have you seen this before?	04:00:26
17	A. Yes, I remember this.	04:00:30
18	Q. What is it?	04:00:32
19	A. Again, it's more explanation of the	04:00:33
20	product, marketing explanation. This one looks like	04:00:44
21	it's directed more towards, after the money came in,	04:00:48
22	some of the newer clients, maybe, more on the military	04:00:53
23	and DOD side.	04:00:56
24	Q. Is this, again, more of a road map-type	04:00:57
25	document as opposed to describing the existing	04:00:59

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page13 of 16

	120	
1	functionality?	04:01:03
2	A. There's some existing and some new, some	04:01:06
3	looking at a future thought.	04:01:09
4	(Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)	04:01:16
5	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Exhibit 19 is titled	04:01:17
6	"User Manual Revision 1.0, May 2006, Secure Enclave	04:01:29
7	Version 3.1." Have you seen this before?	04:01:35
8	A. Yes.	04:01:38
9	Q. Is this, in fact, a user manual for Secure	04:01:39
10	Enclave Version 3.1?	04:01:44
11	A. Yes. Yes.	04:01:49
12	Q. Does this appear to be a true and correct	04:01:51
13	copy of that document?	04:01:52
14	A. Yes.	04:01:57
15	Q. And was this generated in the ordinary	04:01:58
16	course of Innerwall's business?	04:02:00
17	A. Yes.	04:02:01
18	Q. And was this intended to be descriptive of	04:02:02
19	existing functionality of Secure Enclave as of the	04:02:07
20	time of its creation?	04:02:10
21	A. Yeah, this would have been specific to that	04:02:12
22	version functionally and operationally.	04:02:16
23	Q. And is that date consistent with your	04:02:19
24	memory, May 2006?	04:02:23
25	A. It would have been after the money, so yes.	04:02:25

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page14 of 16

	121	
1	And the marketing the way this looks, it would have	04:02:33
2	been in that time period, so	04:02:35
3	Q. And you testified earlier that for well,	04:02:58
4	pricing and licensing negotiations, those were not	04:03:01
5	within your direct responsibilities?	04:03:04
6	A. No, they weren't.	04:03:06
7	Q. And you weren't involved in negotiations	04:03:17
8	concerning the terms by which EIM purchased Innerwall	04:03:19
9	assets?	04:03:26
10	A. No, I wasn't.	04:03:26
11	(Exhibit 20 was marked for identification.)	04:03:39
12	Q. (By Mr. Mueller) Exhibit 20 is a U.S.A.	04:03:41
13	Patent 8,239,917 that lists, I believe, you as the	04:03:50
14	lead inventor; is that right?	04:03:56
15	A. Correct.	04:03:59
16	Q. Do you recall this patent?	04:03:59
17	A. I do.	04:04:00
18	Q. What does it relate to? What work is it	04:04:00
19	based on?	04:04:03
20	A. This work is based on the extensions that	04:04:03
21	we've talked about in communications and a bigger	04:04:08
22	enterprise-management solution.	04:04:12
23	Q. This patent relates to the the additions	04:04:16
24	to HOSTCheck?	04:04:21
25	A. Yeah. Yeah. I'd say the additions to a	04:04:23

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page15 of 16

	122	
1	system that allowed a HOSTCheck-like functionality.	04:04:35
2	But I think this one I haven't read this in a long	04:04:38
3	time. It may refer to the other patent, to the	04:04:40
4	Noochee patent.	04:04:43
5	Q. This listed Mr. Ricotta as the second named	04:04:44
6	inventor.	04:05:01
7	A. Yeah.	04:05:02
8	Q. What was his role from a technical	04:05:02
9	perspective?	04:05:03
10	A. Technical technically we were just a	04:05:04
11	lot of this, what do you call these, processes, the	04:05:08
12	order processes and things like that, it was a team	04:05:19
13	effort to put all that together and think through the	04:05:20
14	problems, so that's why they're all listed. That's	04:05:25
15	why he's listed.	04:05:29
16	Q. Are you a named inventor on any other	04:05:41
17	patents?	04:05:44
18	A. There was one other patent that goes along	04:05:44
19	with this one, but it was granted, but I believe it	04:05:50
20	was disallowed after that. It was on zero knowledge.	04:05:54
21	Q. I'm sorry. Say that again?	04:06:01
22	A. There was one on zero knowledge that was	04:06:02
23	granted and then revoked or I don't know what you	04:06:03
24	call it, but	04:06:06
25	Q. And what is "zero knowledge"?	04:06:07

Case3:13-cv-04545-HSG Document206-3 Filed06/17/15 Page16 of 16

	123	
1	A. The ability to computers or agents to	04:06:09
2	connect without knowledge of the other.	04:06:15
3	Q. Got it. A few more questions.	04:06:18
4	Are you familiar with something called	04:06:28
5	ThinkVillage?	04:06:30
6	A. Yes.	04:06:31
7	Q. What is it?	04:06:31
8	A. It's an intellectual property broker, I	04:06:32
9	guess would be a good way to term it. Yeah.	04:06:40
10	Q. And how did you first learn of	04:06:47
11	ThinkVillage?	04:06:48
12	A. I worked on their Web site when they first	04:06:49
13	started.	04:06:55
14	Q. And who commissioned you to do that work?	04:06:57
15	A. That was Curtis.	04:07:00
16	Q. Curtis Vock?	04:07:01
17	A. Curtis Vock, yes.	04:07:03
18	Q. And how did you first meet Curtis Vock?	04:07:04
19	A. I first met him working on on these	04:07:06
20	patents for the Noochee or sorry, Innerwall,	04:07:11
21	Innerwall solutions.	04:07:15
22	Q. And he was working on in a	04:07:16
23	patent-prosecution capacity, meaning helping with the	04:07:21
24	actual patent filings?	04:07:24
25	A. Yes, he was actually working on these	04:07:25